

REMARKS

Claims 1-22 are pending in the application.

Claims 1-22 are subject to a restriction requirement.

Restriction Requirement

The Office Action asserts that claims 1-11, as a first grouping and claims 12-22, as a second grouping are drawn to separate and distinct inventions, and requires restriction by the Applicants to the prosecution in this application for only one claim grouping. While the Applicants are not in agreement with the Office Action position, and seek reconsideration of the Office Action, they provisionally elect the invention of claims 1-11 for examination herein, with


The invention disclosed in the present application is directed to a method of communicating data bursts via a wireless communications channel in which transmission parameters are managed as a function of a selected radio configuration. As will be apparent from the submitted claims, the independent claims in each of the identified groupings are drawn to a method of wireless communication, with the independent claim of the first grouping (claim 1) being further directed to the transmission aspect of the method of communication and the independent claim of the second grouping (claim 12) being further directed to the reception aspect of such a method of communication.

The Applicants therefore respectfully submit that all of the submitted claims are drawn to non-inventive subject matter. The identified claim groupings are neither independent inventions, but rather are drawn to particular embodiments of the disclosed method of communicating data burst over a wireless channel. Given such a common element of the two

identified claim groupings, Applicants further submit that examination of all claims in a single application would not impose a serious burden on the Examiner. Accordingly, the Applicants
25 respectfully request reconsideration of the Examiner's determination that the initially
presented claims of this application are directed to two separate inventions. Withdrawal of the
restriction requirement is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner should feel that the application is not yet in a condition for allowance and
that a telephone interview would be useful, he is invited to contact Applicants' attorney, **John**
30 **Ligon**, at (973) 386-4237.

Respectfully submitted,



Martin I. Finston
Reg. No. 31,613
Attorney for Applicant

40 **Date:** July 31, 2007

Docket Administrator (Room 2F-190)
Alcatel Lucent
600 Mountain Avenue
P. O. Box 636
Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974-0636

45
50 I hereby certify that this Response to Office Action is being deposited with the United States Postal Service
as First Class Mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,
Alexandria, VA 22313 on July 31, 2007.

By: Margaret Cardoso
Margaret Cardoso